

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,535	09/15/2003	Hitoshi Hirakawa	122.1568	8025
21171 7590 12/20/2006 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		· SHERMAN, STEPHEN G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2629	
·	•	•		DEL WERV MODE
		•	MAIL DATE 12/20/2006	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. 10/661,535 HIRAKAWA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2629 Stephen G. Sherman All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Stephen G. Sherman. (3) Jack Staas. (4)____. (2) Matthew Polson. Date of Interview: 14 December 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . . . Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Identification of prior art discussed: Awaji (JP 2000-148085A).

PATENT EXAMPNER Examiner's signature, if required

AMR A. AWAD

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representatives and the examiner discussed the Awaji reference and its use in the rejection of claim 1. The examiner restated his opinion that since the reference teaches of lighting all of the cells, that the cells to be written in all of the subfields will be lit in the predetermined subfield. The applicant's representatives argued that the addressing period would only write cells that are to be lit, and therefore by stating in the claim that "all of the cells to be written in the address periods of all of the plurality of subfields in the display field are written to cause light emission in a predetermined subfield," the applicant has already excluded the cells that aren't going to be lit from the claim language. The applicant's representatives and the examiner then discussed an amendment proposed by the applicant's repsentatives for claim 1 and for a new claim 22, and came to an agreement that the proposed amendment would overcome the Awaji reference. The examiner also noted that a further search would need to be conducted after the amendment is filed.